STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE SUPREME COURT

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v No. 161092

DEANDRE TERREL AUSTIN,

Defendant-Appellant.

Court of Appeals No. 344703 Third Circuit Court No. 17-010362-01-FC

PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE'S ANSWER OPPOSING DEFENDANT-APPELLANT'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL

KYM L. WORTHY Prosecuting Attorney County of Wayne

JASON W. WILLIAMS Chief of Research, Training, and Appeals

JOSEPH D. SHOPP (P81256)

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 1441 St. Antoine, 11th Floor Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 224-7317

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE SUPREME COURT

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v No. 161092

DEANDRE TERREL AUSTIN,

Defendant-Appellant.

Court of Appeals No. 344703 Third Circuit Court No. 17-010362-01-FC

PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE'S ANSWER OPPOSING DEFENDANT-APPELLANT'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, by and through KYM L. WORTHY, Prosecuting Attorney for the County of Wayne, JASON W. WILLIAMS, Chief of Research, Training, and Appeals, and JOSEPH D. SHOPP, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, hereby request that this Honorable Court deny defendant's application for leave to appeal for the following reasons:

- 1. Defendant's application relies upon the same arguments he made before the Michigan Court of Appeals in support of his claims of instructional error, insufficiency of the evidence presented to support his conviction, and ineffective assistance of counsel.
- 2. The People's brief on appeal in the Court of Appeals adequately addresses the issues raised by defendant and is incorporated into this answer. See Appendix.

- 3. The Court of Appeals did not clearly err when it rejected defendant's arguments and affirmed his convictions of first-degree felony murder, armed robbery, felon in possession of a firearm, and felony-firearm. MCR 7.305(B)(5).
- 4. Defendant's application does not demonstrate any of the other grounds for granting leave to appeal. MCR 7.305(B)(1)-(3).
- 5. To the extent defendant raises issues in his application that he did not raise in the Court of Appeals, review is foreclosed since there is no "decision by the Court of Appeals" to review. MCR 7.305(B)(5). See also this Court's order in *People v Holloway*, 35 Mich App 420, lv den 387 Mich 772 (1972): "[A]n appellant may not raise in this Court an issue not presented to the Court of Appeals."
- 6. In sum, defendant's application raises no issues worthy of this Court's review.

RELIEF

THEREFORE, the People respectfully request that this Honorable Court deny defendant's application for leave to appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

KYM L. WORTHY Prosecuting Attorney County of Wayne

JASON W. WILLIAMS Chief of Research, Training, and Appeals

/s/ Joseph D. Shopp

JOSEPH D. SHOPP (P81256)

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 1441 St. Antoine, 11th Floor Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 224-7317

Dated: March 30, 2020